

A diagram illustrating the AES Key Expansion process. It shows a sequence of operations represented by overlapping rectangles and lines, with a prominent orange line tracing a path through the diagram. The background is a light blue gradient with a faint image of a globe in the top right corner.

DFA against AES Key Expansion

**David Peacham & Byron Thomas
(SiVenture)**

CHES 06 Rump Session



Background

- ➔ Several existing DFA attacks on AES:
 - [DUSART et al] Corrupt state bytes in penultimate round
 - [GIRAUD] Corrupt single bytes in the penultimate and antepenultimate subkeys
 - Requires a slow final search (2 32-bit exhaustive searches)
 - 250 true / corrupted ciphertext pairs
 - [Chen-Yen] improves upon Giraud's attack to make the analysis easier
 - 24-bits of search; 1 true ciphertext with 22 corrupted texts

Our Attack : methodology

- ➔ First corrupt last key iteration for penultimate round (rightmost column of key)
- ➔ Express the state prior to the penultimate key add as an equation for each byte involving some ciphertext bytes and some penultimate round key bytes
 - E.g. *Column 0, row 0: $P_0 \wedge S'[P_0 \wedge R_{10} \wedge S[P_{13}] \wedge Z_0]$*

Our attack: Methodology

➔ Rewrite these in the presence of faults

– E.g. *Column 0, row 0*:

$$P_0^{\wedge} S'[P_0^{\wedge} R_{10}^{\wedge} S[P_{13}^{\wedge p_{13}}]^{\wedge} Z_0^{\wedge} z_0]$$

➔ But these two must be equal so

– $P_0^{\wedge} S'[P_0^{\wedge} R_{10}^{\wedge} S[P_{13}]^{\wedge} Z_0] =$

$P_0^{\wedge} S'[P_0^{\wedge} R_{10}^{\wedge} S[P_{13}^{\wedge p_{13}}]^{\wedge} Z_0^{\wedge} z_0]$

– $S[P_{13}]^{\wedge} S[P_{13}^{\wedge p_{13}}]^{\wedge} z_0 = 0$

➔ Get similar equations for other bytes

Our attack: Methodology

- ➔ Now we just need to solve simultaneously, e.g.:
 1. $S[P14] \wedge S[P14 \wedge p14] \wedge z1 = 0$
 2. $S[P14] \wedge S[P14 \wedge p14] \wedge p13 \wedge z13 = 0$

- 1. $S[P14] \wedge S[P14 \wedge p14] = z1$
- 2. $z1 \wedge p13 \wedge z13 = 0$
- ➔ This can be solved directly to find $p13$ since $z1$ and $z13$ are the output differences in the 1st and 13th bytes
- ➔ $S[P13] \wedge S[P13 \wedge p13] \wedge z0 = 0$ so can use our $p13$ and perform an 8-bit search to find the key byte $P13$

Our Attack: finishing up

- ➔ Note: using one fault, we find two possible values (the true value and the corrupted value).
- ➔ Need 3 pairs per key iteration to derive key bytes definitively.
- ➔ Using the same set of faults (last column of the key) can find P_{14} , P_{15} , $P_2 \wedge P_6$, $P_1 \wedge P_5 \wedge P_9$, $P_3 \wedge S[P_{12}]$

Our Attack: finishing up

- ➔ Then we target one column to the left and rewrite the equations to find more key bytes
- ➔ And then continue back...
- ➔ After causing faults in the first column of the key, can derive all 16 bytes of P
- ➔ The unknowns of each equation are found using either an 8-bit or a 16-bit search

Our attack: Advantages

- ➔ Naïve reverse-calculation countermeasures are unlikely to detect the fault
- ➔ The attack requires 12 pairs of correct and faulty ciphertexts (3 per key iteration)
- ➔ Only a single round key has to be faulted
- ➔ The fault model applies to schedule-on-demand
- ➔ It is simple to understand
- ➔ It is efficient: several 16-bit and 8-bit searches