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6.2. FINDING GENERATING PAIRS FOR ELLIPTIC CURVES

6.2.1 Weil pairing and equivalence classes

The Weil pairing, defined simply as a “correspondence” by Weil [Wei40], takes an integer \( m \) as parameter and is a rational function on pairs of points of order dividing \( m \) in the group \( E(F_q) \):

\[
e_m : E(F_q)[m] \times E(F_q)[m] \to F_q.
\]  

The pairing has several useful properties:

(i) **Identity.** For all points \( P \in E(F_q)[m] \), \( e_m(P, P) = 1 \).

(ii) **Alternation.** For all points \( P_1, P_2, P_3 \in E(F_q)[m] \), \( e_m(P_1, P_2) = e_m(P_2, P_1)^{-1} \).

(iii) **Bilinearity.** For all points \( P_1, P_2, P_3 \in E(F_q)[m] \), \( e_m(P_1 + P_2, P_3) = e_m(P_1, P_3)e_m(P_2, P_3) \) and \( e_m(P_1, P_2 + P_3) = e_m(P_1, P_2)e_m(P_1, P_3) \).

(iv) **Nondegeneracy.** For all points \( P_1 \in E(F_q)[m] \), if \( e_m(P_1, P_2) = 1 \) for all points \( P_2 \in E(F_q)[m] \) then \( P_1 = O \).

Miller recently developed a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm for computing the Weil pairing [Mil85]. The algorithm is essential to the results which follow in this section. Indeed most of the results have been suggested in some form by Miller [Mil87], although the use of partial factorization is new. The definition of the Weil pairing and a MACSYMA implementation of Miller’s algorithm are included in Appendix A.

**Equivalence classes**

The properties of the Weil pairing provide a method of partitioning elements into equivalence classes. The partitioning can be done for points of order dividing \( m \) on the elliptic curve over the algebraic closure, or for points on the elliptic curve over the finite field. The following lemma shows how this is done.

**Lemma 6.7** Let \( E(F_q) \) be an elliptic curve with group structure \((n_1, n_2)\) and let \( G_1 \) be an element of maximum order. Let \( h \) denote a homomorphism modulo the subgroup generated by \( G_1 \).


MOV Reduction

- 1990: Menezes, O. and Vanstone read his thesis and learnt the cryptographic application of the Weil pairing and Miller’s algorithm. We then found the reduction of ECDL to MDL by using the Weil pairing.
Victor Miller visited Ron Rivest when I was a graduate student, and he met with me about my research. If I recall correctly, I asked him if he knew a way to determine whether an elliptic curve group was cyclic, and he suggested the Weil pairing. He also gave me a copy of his algorithm for computing the Weil pairing, and agreed that I could implement it for my thesis.
A New Approach on Bilinear Pairings and Its Applications

Joint Work with Katsuyuki Takashima (Mitsubishi Electric)
Pairing-Based Cryptography
Why Did Pairing-Based Cryptography So Succeed?

Mathematically Richer Structure

- Traditional Crypto: genus 0

  \[ F_p^* \] (e.g., Multiplicative group)

- Pairing-Based Crypto: genus 1

  \[ E[n] \cong \mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n \subset E\left(\overline{F}_p\right) \]  
  (e.g., pairing-friendly elliptic curve group)
Additional Math Structure with Pairings

- Traditional Techniques over Cyclic Groups
  - $h = g^x$: One-way (hard to compute $x$ from $(g, h)$).
  - $(g^x)^y = (g^y)^x$: Commutativity
  - $g^{x+y} = g^x g^y$: Homomorphism
- Pairing ➞ Additional Structure as well as the Above Properties
  - $h = g^x$: One-way (hard to compute $x$ from $(g, h)$).
  - $(g^x)^y = (g^y)^x$: Commutativity
  - $g^{x+y} = g^x g^y$: Homomorphism:
  - $e(g^x, g^y) = e(g, g)^{xy}$: Bilinearity
New Approach on Pairings:

Constructing a Richer Structure from Pairing Groups
Pairing Groups

- \((G_1, G_2, G_T)\), \(|G_1| = |G_2| = |G_T| = q\) (prime)
  (\(G_1, G_2\): additive form expression)
  (\(G_T\): multiplicative form expression)

- \(G_1 \in G_1, G_2 \in G_2\) \((G_1, G_2 \neq 0)\)

- \(e : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G_T\)
  
  \bullet \ g_T := e(G_1, G_2) \neq 1\) (nondegenerate)

  \bullet \ e(xG_1, yG_2) = e(G_1, G_2)^{xy}\) (bilinear)
The Most Natural Way to Make a Richer Algebraic Structure from Pairing Groups

Direct Product of Pairing Groups

\[ V := \bigg\{ \prod_{i=1}^{N} G_i \bigg\} \]

\[ V^* := \bigg\{ \prod_{i=1}^{N} G_i \bigg\} \]

\[ x \in V, \quad y \in V^* \]

\[ x := (x_1 G_1, \ldots, x_N G_1), \quad y := (y_1 G_2, \ldots, y_N G_2) \]

\[ (x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, N). \]
**$N$-Dimensional Vector Spaces:**

\[ V = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_1, \quad V^* = G_2 \times \cdots \times G_2 \]

- **Vector Addition**

For \( x := (x_1 G_1, \ldots, x_N G_1) \in V \) and \( y := (y_1 G_1, \ldots, y_N G_1) \in V \),

\[ x + y := (x_1 G_1 + y_1 G_1, \ldots, x_N G_1 + y_N G_1) = ((x_1 + y_1) G_1, \ldots, (x_N + y_N) G_1) \in V \]

Similarly defined for \( V^* \).

- **Scalar multiplication**

For \( x := (x_1 G_1, \ldots, x_N G_1) \in V \) and \( c \in \mathbb{F}_q \),

\[ cx := (cx_1 G_1, \ldots, cx_N G_1) \in V \]

Similarly defined for \( V^* \).
$N$-Dimensional Vector Spaces:

$$V = \mathbb{G}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{G}_1, \quad V^* = \mathbb{G}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{G}_2$$

Canonical Bases

$A := (a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ for $V$, \quad $A^* := (a_1^*, \ldots, a_N^*)$ for $V^*$,

$$a_1 := (G_1, 0, \ldots, 0), \quad a_2 := (0, G_1, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, \quad a_N := (0, \ldots, 0, G_1)$$

$$a_1^* := (G_2, 0, \ldots, 0), \quad a_2^* := (0, G_2, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, \quad a_N^* := (0, \ldots, 0, G_2)$$

Element Expression on Canonical Basis

$$x := (x_1 G_1, \ldots, x_N G_1) = x_1 a_1 + \cdots + x_N a_N$$

$$= (x_1, \ldots, x_N)_A = (\overrightarrow{x})_A \in V$$

$$y := (y_1 G_2, \ldots, y_N G_2) = y_1 a_1^* + \cdots + y_N a_N^*$$

$$= (y_1, \ldots, y_N)_{A^*} = (\overrightarrow{y})_{A^*} \in V^*$$

$$a_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)_A, \quad a_2 = (0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)_A, \ldots, \quad a_N = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)_A$$

$$a_1^* = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)_{A^*}, \quad a_2^* = (0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)_{A^*}, \ldots, \quad a_N^* = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)_{A^*}$$
Duality

Inner-Products between $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{V}^*$

For $\mathbf{x} := (\overrightarrow{x})_A \in \mathbb{V}$, $\mathbf{y} := (\overrightarrow{y})_{A^*} \in \mathbb{V}^*$,

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} := \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i y_i = \overrightarrow{x} \cdot \overrightarrow{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q$$

Dual Spaces

For $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{V}^*$,

Linear map $\mathbf{y} : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{F}_q$

$$\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$$

$\mathbb{V}^*$ is the dual space of $\mathbb{V}$.

Pairing between $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{V}^*$

$e : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}^* \to \mathbb{G}_T$

$$e(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \prod_{i=1}^{N} e(x_i G_1, y_i G_2) = e(G_1, G_2) \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i y_i$$

$$= g_T^{\overrightarrow{x} \cdot \overrightarrow{y}} = g_T^{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \in \mathbb{G}_T$$

$\mathbf{x} := (x_1 G_1, \ldots, x_N G_1) \in \mathbb{V}$

$\mathbf{y} := (y_1 G_2, \ldots, y_N G_2) \in \mathbb{V}^*$
Orthonormality

\((\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^*)\): dual orthonormal bases of \(\mathbb{V}\) and \(\mathbb{V}^*\), since

\[
\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j^* = \delta_{i,j} := \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } i = j \\
0 & \text{if } i \neq j 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
e(\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j^*) = g_{T}^{\delta_{i,j}}
\]
Base Change

\[ \mathbb{B} := (b_1, \ldots, b_N) : \text{basis of } \mathbb{V} \]

s.t. \[ X := (\chi_{i,j}) \in GL(N, \mathbb{F}_q), \]

\[ b_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \chi_{i,j} a_j \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, N. \]

\[ \mathbb{B}^* := (b_1^*, \ldots, b_N^*) : \text{basis of } \mathbb{V}^* \]

s.t. \[ (\vartheta_{i,j}) := (X^T)^{-1}, \]

\[ b_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \vartheta_{i,j} a_j^* \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, N. \]

\( (\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B}^*) \): dual orthonormal bases of \( \mathbb{V} \) and \( \mathbb{V}^* \),

since \( b_i \cdot b_j^* = \delta_{i,j} \)

i.e., \( e(b_i, b_j^*) = g_{i,j}^T \)
(A, A*) : dual orthonormal bases of (V, V*), i.e., \( e(a_i, a_j^*) = g_{i,j}^\delta \)

Base change by \( X \xleftarrow{U} GL(N, \mathbb{F}_q) \),

(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B}^*) : dual orthonormal bases of (V, V*), i.e., \( e(b_i, b_j^*) = g_{i,j}^\delta \)

For \( \mathbf{x} := x_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + x_N \mathbf{b}_N = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)_\mathbb{B} = (\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}})_\mathbb{B} \in \mathbb{V} \)

and \( \mathbf{y} := y_1 \mathbf{b}_1^* + \cdots + y_N \mathbf{b}_N^* = (y_1, \ldots, y_N)_{\mathbb{B}^*} = (\overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}})_{\mathbb{B}^*} \in \mathbb{V}^* \),

\( e(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} e(x_i \mathbf{b}_i, y_i \mathbf{b}_i^*) = e(g, g) \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i y_i = g_{T}^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathbf{y}}} \in \mathbb{G}_T. \)
Trapdoor

\((B, A, A^*)\)

\[ \rightarrow \text{hard} \]

\[ \rightarrow \text{easy} \]

\[ \uparrow \]

\[ X \]

\[ \rightarrow B^* \]
Special Case: Self-Duality

Symmetric pairing group \((G_1 = G_2)\):
\((G, G, G_T)\) with \(e : G \times G \to G_T\)

\[ V = V^* := G \times \cdots \times G \]

\(A\): (self-dual) orthonormal basis of \(V\), i.e., \(e(a_i, a_j) = g_{ij}^{T}\)

Base change by \(X \leftarrow_{\mathcal{U}} GL(N, \mathbb{F}_q)\),

\((B, B^*)\): (self-dual) orthonormal bases of \(V\), i.e., \(e(b_i, b_j^*) = g_{ij}^T\)

\((B, A) \xleftrightarrow{X} B^*\)
Abstraction: Dual Pairing Vector Spaces (DPVS)

\((q, \mathbb{V}, \mathbb{V}^*, G_T, A, A^*):\)

- \(q\): prime,
- \(\mathbb{V}\) and \(\mathbb{V}^*\): \(N\)-dimensional vector spaces over \(\mathbb{F}_q\),
- \(G_T\): cyclic group of order \(q\) (\(g_T\): generator),
- \(A := (a_1, \ldots, a_N)\) and \(A^* := (a_1^*, \ldots, a_N^*)\): canonical bases of \(\mathbb{V}\) and \(\mathbb{V}^*\).

There are efficient algorithms for \(e, \phi_{i,j}\) and \(\phi_{i,j}^*\) such that:

1. [Non-degenerate bilinear pairing] \(e: \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}^* \to G_T\) i.e., \(e(sx, ty) = e(x, y)^{st}\)
   and if \(e(x, y) = 1\) for all \(y \in \mathbb{V}\), then \(x = 0\).

2. [Dual orthonormal bases] \(e(a_i, a_j^*) = g_T^{\delta_{i,j}}\) for all \(i\) and \(j\).

3. [Canonical maps] Endomorphisms \(\phi_{i,j}\) of \(\mathbb{V}\) s.t. \(\phi_{i,j}(a_j) = a_i\) and \(\phi_{i,j}(a_k) = 0\) if \(k \neq j\). Endomorphisms \(\phi_{i,j}^*\) of \(\mathbb{V}^*\) s.t. \(\phi_{i,j}^*(a_j^*) = a_i^*\) and \(\phi_{i,j}^*(a_k^*) = 0\) if \(k \neq j\). We call \(\phi_{i,j}\) and \(\phi_{i,j}^*\) “canonical maps”.

(Example of canonical maps on \(\mathbb{V} = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_1\))

\[
\phi_{i,j}(x) := (0, \ldots, 0, x_j G_1, 0, \ldots, 0) \text{ for } x := (x_1 G_1, \ldots, x_j G_1, \ldots, x_N G_1)
\]
Construction of Dual Pairing Vector Spaces:

- Direct product of pairing groups
  \[ V = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_1 \text{ and } V^* = G_2 \times \cdots \times G_2 \]
  (e.g., product of elliptic curves)

- Jacobian of supersingular hyperelliptic curves
  \[ V = V^* := \text{Jac}_C[q] \cong (\mathbb{F}_q)^{2g} : \]
  \[ q \text{-torsion point group of the Jacobian variety of some specific supersingular hyperelliptic curves } C \text{ of genus } g. \]

[Takashima, ANTS’08]
Intractable Problems in DPVS Suitable for Cryptographic Applications

- Vector Decomposition Problem (VDP)
- Decisional VDP (DVDP)
- Decisional Subspace Problem (DSP)
Vector Decomposition Problem (VDP)

\[ V, V^*, A, A^*, B := (b_1, \ldots, b_{N_1}) \]

\[ X := (x_{i,j}) \]

\[ \mathbf{v} := \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} v_j \chi_{j,1} G_1, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} v_j \chi_{j,N_1} G_1 \]

\[ \text{hard} \quad \text{span}\langle b_1, \ldots, b_{N_2} \rangle. \]

\[ \mathbf{u} := \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} v_j \chi_{j,1} G_1, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} v_j \chi_{j,N_1} G_1 \]
Special Case of Vector Decomposition Problem (VDP)

\[ \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^*, A := (a_1, \ldots, a_{N_1}), A^* \]

\[ \nu := v_1 a_1 + \cdots + v_{N_2} a_{N_2} + v_{N_2+1} a_{N_2+1} + \cdots + v_{N_1} a_{N_1} \]

\[ (v_1 G_1, \ldots, v_{N_2} G_1, v_{N_2+1} G_1, \ldots, v_{N_1} G_1) \]

\[ \text{easy} \quad \text{span} \langle a_1, \ldots, a_{N_2} \rangle. \]

\[ u := v_1 a_1 + \cdots + v_{N_2} a_{N_2} \]

\[ (v_1 G_1, \ldots, v_{N_2} G_1, 0, \ldots, 0) \]
History of Vector Decomposition Problem (VDP)

[Yoshida, Mitsunari and Fujiwara 2003], [Yoshida 2003]
Introduced VDP on elliptic curves.
History of Vector Decomposition Problem (VDP)

[Duursma and Kiyavash 2005], [Duursma and Park 2006],
VDP on hyperelliptic curves, higher dimensional ElGamal-type signatures
History of Vector Decomposition Problem (VDP)

[Galbraith and Verheul, PKC 2008]

Introduced “distortion eigenvector basis” for VDP on elliptic curves.
History of Vector Decomposition Problem (VDP)

O. and Takashima (Pairing 2008):

Introduced more general notion, “distortion eigenvector spaces”, for higher dimensional spaces, and showed several cryptographic applications.

We also extended the concept to “dual pairing vector spaces” (Aisiacrypt 2009) for VDP and other problems, and showed an application to predicate encryption.
Trapdoor of VDP: Algorithm Deco

\( V, V^*, A, A^*, B := (b_1, \ldots, b_{N_1}) \)

\( v := v_1b_1 + \cdots + v_{N_2}b_{N_2} + v_{N_2+1}b_{N_2+1} + \cdots + v_{N_1}b_{N_1} \)

\[ (X, \text{span}(b_1, \ldots, b_{N_2}), B) \]

Deco

\( (t_{i,j}) := X^{-1}, \)

\[ u := \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{N_1} t_{i,j} x_{j,\kappa} \phi_{\kappa,i}(v) \]

\[ u := v_1b_1 + \cdots + v_{N_2}b_{N_2} \]
**Decisional VDP (DVDP)**

\[ \forall, \forall^*, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}^*, \mathbb{B} := (b_1, \ldots, b_{N_1}) \]

\[ \nu := \nu_1 b_1 + \cdots + \nu_{N_2} b_{N_2} + \nu_{N_2+1} b_{N_2+1} + \cdots + \nu_{N_1} b_{N_1} \]

\[ u := \nu_1 b_1 + \cdots + \nu_{N_2} b_{N_2} \]

\[ u' := r_1 b_1 + \cdots + r_{N_2} b_{N_2} \]

\[ (r_1, \ldots, r_{N_2}) \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{N_2} \]

**DVDP Assumption**

\[ \forall \text{ Adv} \]

\[ \Pr \left[ (\nu, u) \right] \approx \Pr \left[ (\nu, u') \right] \]
Decisional Subspace Problem (DSP)

\[ \forall, \forall^*, A, A^*, B := (b_1, \ldots, b_{N_1}) \]

\[ \nu := \nu_1 b_1 + \cdots + \nu_{N_2} b_{N_2} + \nu_{N_2+1} b_{N_2+1} + \cdots + \nu_{N_1} b_{N_1} \]

i.e., \[ \nu \leftarrow \forall \]

\[ \nu' := r_1 b_1 + \cdots + r_{N_2} b_{N_2} \quad (r_1, \ldots, r_{N_2}) \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{N_2} \]

i.e., \[ \nu' \leftarrow \text{span} \langle b_1, \ldots, b_{N_2} \rangle \subset \forall \]

**DSP Assumption**

\[ \forall \text{ Adv} \quad \Pr \left[ \begin{array}{c} \nu \\ \text{Adv} \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \approx \Pr \left[ \begin{array}{c} \nu' \\ \text{Adv} \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \]
Relations with DDH and DLIN Problems

Decisional $s$-linear assumption:

\[ G, G_1, \ldots, G_s \leftarrow \mathbb{G}, \ x_1, \ldots, x_s, x_{s+1} \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_q \]

Given \((G, G_1, \ldots, G_s)\), it is hard to distinguish

\[ v = (x_1 G_1, \ldots, x_s G_s, x_{s+1} G) \text{ and} \]
\[ v' = (x_1 G_1, \ldots, x_s G_s, (\sum_{i=1}^{s} x_i) G). \]

Decisional 1-linear assumption (=DDH assumption):

\[ (G, G_1, x_1 G_1, x_2 G) \text{ and} \]
\[ (G, G_1, x_1 G_1, x_1 G). \]

\[ (\kappa_1 G, \ldots, \kappa_s G) := (G_1, \ldots, G_s), \]
\[ b_1 := (\kappa_1 G, 0, \ldots, 0, G) = \kappa_1 a_1 + a_{s+1}, \]
\[ b_2 := (0, \kappa_2 G, 0, \ldots, 0, G) = \kappa_2 a_2 + a_{s+1}, \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ b_s := (0, \ldots, 0, \kappa_s G, G) = \kappa_s a_s + a_{s+1}, \]
\[ b_{s+1} := (0, \ldots, 0, G) = a_{s+1} \]

\[ \mathbb{A} := (a_1, \ldots, a_{s+1}) \]
\[ X := \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \kappa_s & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]
\[ \mathbb{B} := (b_1, \ldots, b_{s+1}) \]

It is hard to distinguish

\[ v = x_1 b_1 + \ldots + x_s b_s + x'_{s+1} b_{s+1} \leftarrow \text{span}\langle b_1, \ldots, b_s, b_{s+1} \rangle = \mathbb{V} \text{ and} \]
\[ v' = x_1 b_1 + \ldots + x_s b_s \leftarrow \text{span}\langle b_1, \ldots, b_s \rangle \]
Trapdoors for DVDP and DSP

- Algorithm Deco with $X$
- Pairing with $\mathbb{B}^*$
  
  DSP can be efficiently solved by using trapdoor
  
  $t^* \in \text{span}\langle b_{N_2+1}^*, \ldots, b_{N_1}^* \rangle$

  $e(v, t^*) \neq 1$ with high probability

  $e(v', t^*) = 1$

- Hierarchy of trapdoors

  $X \xrightarrow[]{} \mathbb{B}^* \xrightarrow[]{} t^* \in S^* \subset V^*$

  (Top level trapdoor)
Related Works and Properties

Higher dimensional vector treatment of bilinear pairing groups have been already employed in literature especially in the areas of IBE, ABE and BE.

To the best of our knowledge, however, the base change and dual space framework have not been presented in an explicit manner.

Our key properties of our approach are the hard decomposability and indistinguishability on DPVS $V$ with basis $B$ and its trapdoors via $X$ and $B^*$. 
Application to Cryptography
Multivariate Homomorphic Encryption

\begin{align*}
\text{Gen}(1^k) : \\
&\mathbb{V} \leftarrow \mathbb{G}(1^k) \text{ with canonical basis } \mathbb{A} := (a_1, \ldots, a_{N_1}) \\
&X := (x_{i,j}) \leftarrow \mathcal{U} \quad b_i := \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} x_{i,j} a_j, \quad \mathbb{B} := (b_1, \ldots, b_{N_1}). \\
&\text{sk} := X, \quad \text{pk} := (\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}). \\
&\text{return } \text{sk}, \text{ pk.}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{Enc}(\text{pk}, (m_1, \ldots, m_{N_2}) \in \{0, \ldots, \tau - 1\}^{N_2}) : \\
&(r_{N_2+1}, \ldots, r_{N_1}) \leftarrow \mathcal{U} \quad \mathbb{F}_q^{N_1-N_2}, \\
&\mathbf{c} := (m_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + m_{N_2} \mathbf{b}_{N_2}) + (r_{N_2+1} \mathbf{b}_{N_2+1} + \cdots + r_{N_1} \mathbf{b}_{N_1}) \\
&\text{return ciphertext } \mathbf{c}.
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{Dec}(\text{sk}, \mathbf{c}) : \\
&\mathbf{c}_i' := \text{Deco}((\mathbf{c}, \text{span}\langle \mathbf{b}_i \rangle), X, \mathbb{B}). \\
&m_i' := \text{Dlog}_{\mathbf{b}_i}(\mathbf{c}_i') \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, N_2. \\
&\text{return plaintext } (m_1', \ldots, m_{N_2}').
\end{align*}

\textbf{Homomorphic property}

\begin{align*}
\text{Enc}(\text{pk}, (m_1, \ldots, m_{N_2}) + \text{Enc}(\text{pk}, (m_1', \ldots, m_{N_2}')) \\
= \text{Enc}(\text{pk}, (m_1 + m_1', \ldots, m_{N_2} + m_{N_2}')).
\end{align*}
Multivariate Homomorphic Encryption

Gen($1^k$):

\[ \mathbb{V} \leftarrow \mathbb{G}(1^k) \text{ with canonical basis } \mathbb{A} := (a_1, \ldots, a_{N_1}) \]

\[ X := (x_{i,j}) \leftarrow \mathbb{U} b_i := \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} x_{i,j} a_j, \quad \mathbb{B} := (b_1, \ldots, b_{N_1}). \]

\[ \mathbb{B}^* := (b_1^*, \ldots, b_N^*): \text{ basis of } \mathbb{V}^* \text{ s.t. } (\vartheta_{i,j}) := (X^T)^{-1}, \]

\[ b_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \vartheta_{i,j} a_j \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, N. \]

\[ \text{sk} := \mathbb{B}^*, \quad \text{pk} := (\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}). \]

return sk, pk.

Enc(pk, ($m_1, \ldots, m_{N_2}$) \in \{0, \ldots, \tau - 1\}^{N_2}) :

\[ (r_{N_2+1}, \ldots, r_{N_1}) \leftarrow \mathbb{U} \mathbb{F}_q^{N_1-N_2}, \]

\[ c := (m_1 b_1 + \cdots + m_{N_2} b_{N_2}) + (r_{N_2+1} b_{N_2+1} + \cdots + r_{N_1} b_{N_1}) \]

return ciphertext c.

Dec(sk, c):

\[ c_i' := e(c, b_i^*) \quad m_i' := \text{Dlog}_{g_T}(c_i') \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, N_2. \]

return plaintext \((m_1', \ldots, m_{N_2}').\)
Predicate Encryption Scheme

- **Setup:** \((\text{param}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B}^*) \leftarrow \mathcal{R} \mathcal{G}_{\text{ob}}(1^\lambda, n + 2)\)
  \[ pk := (\text{param}, \mathbb{B}), \quad sk := \mathbb{B}^* \]

- **GenKey**\((sk, \overrightarrow{v} := (v_1, \ldots, v_n))\):\n  \[ sk \rightarrow \overrightarrow{v} := k^* := \sigma(v_1 b^*_1 + \cdots + v_n b^*_n) + b^*_{n+1} \]
  \[ = (\sigma \overrightarrow{v}, 1, 0)_{\mathbb{B}^*} \]

- **Enc**\((pk, \overrightarrow{x} := (x_1, \ldots, x_n), m)\):
  \[ c_1 := \delta_1(x_1 b_1 + \cdots + x_n b_n) + \zeta b_{n+1} + \delta_{n+2} b_{n+2} \]
  \[ = (\delta_1 \overrightarrow{x}, \zeta, \delta_{n+2})_{\mathbb{B}} \]

- **Dec**\((pk, k^*, (c_1, c_2))\):
  \[ m' := c_2 / e(c_1, k^*) \]

\[ \delta_1 \sigma(\overrightarrow{x} \cdot \overrightarrow{v}) + \zeta \]
\[ \delta_1 \sigma(\overrightarrow{x} \cdot \overrightarrow{v}) + \zeta \]
\[ = \zeta \text{ if } \overrightarrow{x} \cdot \overrightarrow{v} = 0, \]
\[ \quad \text{random} \]
\[ \text{if } \overrightarrow{x} \cdot \overrightarrow{v} \neq 0. \]
Summary

- A new approach on bilinear pairing: Dual pairing vector spaces
  - enjoy richer algebraic structures
- Cryptographic applications:
  - predicate encryption for inner-products
  - more...
Thank you!