Efficient attacks and realworld provable security

Dr. Alexander W. Dent

• Two years ago, I presented a seminal result on the use of provable security in the real world.

<u>Theorem</u> An experimental subject that has had their hands "cruelly" "hacked" off using a "rusty" machete is still able to pick up objects with probably 1/4.

<u>Corollary</u> An experimental subject whose hands have been removed with unsanitary implements can pick objects up almost always.

• Two years ago, I presented a seminal result on the use of provable security in the real world.

<u>Theorem</u> An experimental subject that has had their hands "cruelly" "hacked" off using a "rusty" machete is still able to pick up objects with probably 1/4.

<u>Corollary</u> An experimental subject whose hands have been removed with unsanitary implements can pick objects up almost always.

- I used to believe that community's lack of interest was due to nepotism and intrigue.
- Now I understand that it's because proof had "turgid notation and 'game hopping'".

Informal Theorem War. (Huh?) What is it good for? Nothing.

Informal Theorem War. (Huh?) What is it good for? Nothing.

<u>Theorem</u> There is no black-box construction the produces anything good from war with a non-negligible probability (assuming a separation between mortals and divine creatures).



 \langle

War An n-party multiparty protocol that is designed to output n-1 parties.

(n > 1 – no suicide here).





- Profiteer model judge can interact with all parties.
- Factory output declared "good" if there exists a PPT judge will output TRUE.



 Using our assumption that divine creatures exist, we can replace the arbitrary war with an ideal war, i.e. Armageddon.



• The judge will now output TRUE with negligible probability because there is only a negligible chance of a judge surviving any form of divine intervention.

 High performance experiments are in progress and are scheduled to finish (assuming no power outages) on December 21st 2012.