

Pseudo-random Exponentiation Using the LIM-LEE Method

C.P. Schnorr

Fachbereich Mathematik/Informatik
 Universität Frankfurt, Germany
 schnorr@cs.uni-frankfurt.de

Abstract for rump and poster session

Suppose we want to compute g^R for a pseudo-random n bit exponent R . We first divide R into h blocks R_i , for $0 \leq i \leq h-1$, of size $a = \lceil \frac{n}{h} \rceil$ and then subdivide each R_i into v smaller blocks $R_{i,j}$, for $0 \leq j \leq v-1$ of size $b = \lceil \frac{a}{v} \rceil$ with $R_{i,j}$ having bits $e_{i,jb+k}$ for $k = 0, \dots, b-1$. We have for $vh \mid n$:

$$R = R_{h-1} \dots R_1 R_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} R_i 2^{ia}, \quad R_i = R_{0,v-1} \dots R_{i,1} R_{i,0} = \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} R_{i,j} 2^{jb},$$

$$R_{i,j} = e_{i,jb+b-1} \dots e_{i,jb+1} e_{i,jb} = \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} e_{i,jb+k} 2^k,$$

$$R = \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} L_{j,k} 2^k, \quad \text{where } L_{j,k} := \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} e_{i,jb+k} 2^{ia+jb}.$$

For each j and k there are 2^h combinations for the h bits $e_{i,jb+k}$ for $i = 0, \dots, h-1$. For each j there are $2^h - 1$ non-zero integers $\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} e_{i,jb+k} 2^{ia+jb}$. We select for each j a subset \mathcal{L}_j of $s \approx 2^{h/2} - 1$ of these integers. We precompute and store g^L for $L \in \mathcal{L}_j$ for $j = 0, \dots, v-1$. Let $\mathcal{L} := \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} \sum_{L \in \mathcal{L}_j} L$. We generate random pairs in $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}$:

LIM-LEE-pseudo-random exponentiation.

$Z := 1, L := 0$

for $k = b-1$ to 0 step -1

$Z := Z * Z, L := L + L$

for $j = v-1$ to 0 step -1

pick $L_j \in_R \mathcal{L}_j$ at random

$Z := Z * g^{L_j}, L := L + L_j$

return (L, Z) .

Performance for exponents R of bit length $n = 160 / 1024$ at DL-complexity $2^{n/2}$. The number of multiplications is $a+b-2$, where $a = n/h, b = n/(hv)$, we have $\#\mathcal{L} = f^{-1} = f^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$.

configuration	storage	# multiplications		# \mathcal{L}	
$h \times v$	$s \times v$	$n = 160$	$n = 1024$	160	1024
4×1	4×1	78	510	2^{80}	2^{512}
4×2	4×2	58	372	2^{80}	2^{512}
6×3	8×3	34	226	2^{81}	2^{512}

Good choices for \mathcal{L}_j . Let \mathcal{L}_j for $j = 0, \dots, v - 1$ consist of the s non-zero integers $L_j = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} e_i 2^{ia+jb}$ of smallest (resp., highest) HAMMING-weight $\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} e_i$. Then additive relations $u + v = w$ with $u, v, w \in \mathcal{L}$ are nearly excluded. However, fast generic DL-algorithms for $g^{\mathcal{L}}$ require many additive relations in \mathcal{L} .